

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
NORTHERN DIVISION**

WILBERT W. ROBINSON,

Petitioner,

v.

DANIEL REDINGTON,

Respondent.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

No. 2:23-CV-0003 NCC

OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Petitioner moves for appointment of counsel. After considering the motion and the pleadings, the motion is denied without prejudice to refileing at a later time.

There is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in civil cases. *Nelson v. Redfield Lithograph Printing*, 728 F.2d 1003, 1004 (8th Cir. 1984). In determining whether to appoint counsel, the Court considers several factors, including (1) whether the petitioner has presented non-frivolous allegations supporting his or her prayer for relief; (2) whether the petitioner will substantially benefit from the appointment of counsel; (3) whether there is a need to further investigate and present the facts related to the petitioner's allegations; and (4) whether the factual and legal issues presented by the action are complex. *See Johnson v. Williams*, 788 F.2d 1319, 1322-23 (8th Cir. 1986); *Nelson*, 728 F.2d at 1005.

Petitioner has demonstrated, at this point, that he can adequately present his claims to the Court. Additionally, neither the factual nor the legal issues in this case are complex. As such, the Court will decline to appoint counsel at this time.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel [ECF No. 3] is **DENIED** without prejudice.

Dated this 12th day of January, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Henry Edward Autrey", is positioned above a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE